

GIZ/BMZ International Expert Workshop
Bridging the Gap: Approaches and Policies for Reducing
Inequalities

Berlin - September 5,6 2016

Workshop III: Good Financial Governance


Jon Jellema

Associate Director for Africa, Asia & Europe, CEQ Institute

jon.jellema@ceqinstitute.org

www.commitmentoequity.org

Revenue mix in international perspective




Notes

1. The year for which the analysis was conducted in parentheses.
2. Data shown here is administrative data as reported by the studies cited and the number not necessarily coincide with the IADB bases (or other multilateral organization).
3. Gross National Income per capita is in 2011 PPP from World Development Indicators, July 5th, 2016: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.PCAP.PP.CD>

Revenue mix in international perspective


(ranked by total government revenue/GDP; GNI right hand scale)



■ Direct personal income or payroll taxes
 ■ Indirect taxes
 ○ GNI per capita (2011 PPP)

Notes
 1. The year for which the analysis was conducted is in parentheses.
 2. Data shown here is administrative data as reported by the studies cited and the number not necessarily coincide with the IADB bases (or other multilateral organization).
 3. Gross National Income per capita is in 2011 US dollars using the World Bank Atlas method, from World Development Indicators, July 26, 2016 <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.CA.PC.DD>

Revenue mix in international perspective





Notes

1. The year for which the analysis was conducted in parentheses.
2. Data shown here is administrative data as reported by the studies cited and the number not necessarily coincide with the IADB bases (or other multilateral organization).
3. Gross National Income per capita is in 2011 U.S. dollars using the World Bank Atlas method, from World Development Indicators, July 5th, 2016: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GNP.PCAP.CD>

Is this equitable?

Cumulative Concentration Shares, Uganda 2012/13



Is this equitable?

- Personal Income Taxes are paid by 1-5% of households.
- Transfers are received by < 3% of households
- Subsidies are received by ~50% of households.
- **Indirect Taxes: over 95% of the population**


Is this equitable? Does it harm the poor?

- Even with exemptions, indirect taxes are approximately neutral with respect to consumption

<u>% shares of :</u>	Disposable Income	Indirect Taxes
Poorest quintile	4.6	6.6
Richest quintile	48	57

- But poverty is typically measured *before* indirect taxes are taken into account

Does it harm the poor?



Poverty reduction (anonymous) can occur alongside impoverishment of poor/near-poor populations

Does it harm the poor?

- Even fiscal systems producing reductions in poverty or inequality have various degrees of fiscal impoverishment.
- The poor can be “net payers” when there are widespread consumption taxes.
- In fiscal systems that produce an *increase* in the poverty headcount (e.g., Ethiopia, Ghana and Tanzania), more than 75% of post-fiscal poor were impoverished by the fiscal system.

In conclusion...

- **Domestic Resource Mobilization agenda might:**
 - **Be inequitable if marginal resources are collected from consumption**
 - **Harm the poor and vulnerable if transfers/subsidies are more thinly spread**
- **Is this a “technology” problem (tax admin.) or a political problem?**